

Application No.: 10/604,349  
Filed: July 14, 2003  
Page 8 of 9

Examiner: Blair M. Johnson  
Group Art Unit: 3634

### **Remarks**

Claims 2-6, 8-12, 17-24, 29-33, 35-39, 44-51, and 55-68 are currently pending in the application. Applicants acknowledge with thanks the Examiner's determination of allowable subject matter in claims 18-22, 45-49, 58-61, and 65-68. By this amendment, claims 2-6, 8-12, 17, 18, 23, 24, 29-33, 35-39, 44, 45, 50, 51, 55-57, and 62-64 are canceled; claims 19, 21, 22, 46, 48, and 49 are amended; and new claims 69 and 70 are added. Claim 69 essentially corresponds to canceled claim 55 in combination with the concepts from canceled claims 12 and 18, and claim 70 essentially corresponds to canceled claim 62 in combination with the concepts from canceled claims 39 and 45. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter is added to the application by these amendments. Reconsideration and reexamination is respectfully requested.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

Claims 6, 23, 24, 33, 50, and 51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The rejection is respectfully traversed. These claims have been canceled, thereby rendering the rejection moot.

### **Objections to the Drawings**

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as allegedly failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Specifically, the reference in claims 6 and 33 to a complex pulley system must be shown in a drawing. This objection is respectfully traversed. Claims 6 and 33 have been canceled, thereby obviating the rejection.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

Claims 29, 32, 33, 35, 38, 39, 44, 50, 56, 57, 63, and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawks. The rejection is respectfully traversed. All of these claims have been canceled, thereby obviating the rejection.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

Claims 2, 4-6, 8, 10-12, 17, 23, 31, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawks or Plum. The rejection is respectfully traversed. These claims have been canceled, thereby rendering the rejection moot.

Application No.: 10/604,349  
Filed: July 14, 2003  
Page 9 of 9

Examiner: Blair M. Johnson  
Group Art Unit: 3634

It is respectfully submitted that all of the claims in the application are allowable over the prior art of record. Early notification of allowability is respectfully requested.

The courtesy of an Advisory Action is respectfully requested.

If there are any questions regarding this matter, please contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

John D. Donnelly

Dated: August 22, 2005

By: \_\_\_\_\_



G. Thomas Williams, Reg. No. 42,228  
McGARRY BAIR PC  
171 Monroe Avenue, NW, Suite 600  
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503  
616-742-3500

G0183945